U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410

www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for **HUD-assisted** Projects **24 CFR Part 58**

Project Information

Direct Comments to:

Project Name: FY2021-CDBG-Playa-Drain-Walking-Trail-Improvements-79915
HEROS Number: 900000010153217
Responsible Entity (RE): EL PASO, City 1 - 300 N. Campbell El Paso TX, 79901
RE Preparer: Jo Ann Vera
State / Local Identifier:
Certifying Officer: Elda Rodriguez-Hefner
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity): Point of Contact:
Point of Contact.
Consultant (if applicabl e):
Point of Contact:
Project Location: Knights Dr. to Yarbrough Dr., El Paso, TX 79915
Additional Location Information: Playa Drain between Knights Dr. to Yarbrough Dr. approximate 4,000 linear feet (. 75 miles) segment

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

46th Year FY2020-2021 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) PUBLIC FACILITIES - Large-Scale Project: Playa Drain Walking Trail Improvements from Knights Dr. to Yarbrough Dr. - Playa Drain between Knights Dr. to Yarbrough Dr. - project will include construction of an urban trail (paved surface) with landscaping for an approximate 4,000 linear feet (. 75 miles) segment of the Playa Drain Trail. Scope includes paved surface trail/header curbs; post and cable fence; irrigation system; landscape; monument columns; benches; picnic tables; drinking fountain; traffic safety striping; and traffic access control (bollards).

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Proposed project is to construct an urban trail approximately 4,000 linear feet (.75 miles) in length along the easement adjacent to an earthen drainage channel known as Playa Drain. The on-street limits of this project are from Knights Dr to Yarbrough Dr. This project covers an important segment of El Paso's Playa Drain Trail which currently features 4.75 miles of constructed trails. The proposed project fills a critical gap that will assist in providing a continuous trail. This proposed project limits will abut an existing trail which continues east of Yarbrough east. Project limits from the proposed and existing will converge at Yarbrough. Design for the Playa Drain Trail segment for this proposed project is underway and currently at 60%. The cost for the design, preliminary engineering, and construction document will be used as the match contribution from a non-CD BG source for this project.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The neighborhood surrounding the proposed project is a mix of an established residential (single family) area that has transitioned over the decades from ranch and agricultural land to an urban neighborhood. The logical boundaries affecting access include two (2) major anchor streets defining the project boundaries Knights Dr. and Yarbrough Dr. The proposed project will be an urban trail accessible by foot or bicycle from nearby residential areas. Automobile users can access the proposed project from parks which have existing parking facilities.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Playa Drain Walking Trail Mapping.pdf
Knights Dr to Yarbrough Dr Google Maps.pdf
Project Scope of Work and Cost Estimate.docx
Playa Drain Improvements Budget Cost Estimate.pdf
Photos Playa Drain Walking Trail.pdf
FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST.pdf

Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The
	project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

Improvements-79915

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
	Community Planning and	Community Development Block Grants
B-20-MC-480015	Development (CPD)	(CDBG) (Entitlement)

Estimated Total HUD Funded,

\$1,223,966.00

Assisted or Insured Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$1,223,966.00 **(5)]:**

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)			
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6					
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. See Attachment 1,			

FY2021-CDBG-Playa-Drain- El Paso, TX Walking-Trail-Improvements-79915

		project site is not within a Civilian or
		Military runway zone.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not located in a CBRS
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as		Unit. Therefore, this project has no
amended by the Coastal Barrier		potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in
Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC		compliance with the Coastal Barrier
3501]		Resources Act. No Coastal Barriers in El
-		Paso, Texas. Compliance based on
		location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.
Flood Insurance	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Flood Disaster Protection Act of		project includes no activities that would
1973 and National Flood Insurance		require further evaluation under this
Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-		section. The project does not require
4128 and 42 USC 5154a]		flood insurance or is excepted from
,		flood insurance. While flood insurance
		may not be mandatory in this instance,
		HUD recommends that all insurable
		structures maintain flood insurance
		under the National Flood Insurance
		Program (NFIP). The project is in
		compliance with Flood Insurance
		requirements. See Attachment 3: FIRM
		requirements see / tetaermient sir mitt
		480214 PANEL 0044C DATE
		480214, PANEL 0044C, DATE 02/16/2006, ZONE AE.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATIO	02/16/2006, ZONE AE.
<u> </u>	1	02/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality	PERS, AND REGULATIO	02/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended,	1	02/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40	1	02/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended,	1	02/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	O2/16/2006, ZONE AE. ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act	1	O2/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act,	□ Yes ☑ No	O2/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act	□ Yes ☑ No	O2/16/2006, ZONE AE. ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act,	□ Yes ☑ No	O2/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act,	□ Yes ☑ No	O2/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act,	□ Yes ☑ No	O2/16/2006, ZONE AE. NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act,	□ Yes ☑ No	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	☐ Yes ☑ No	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5.
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d) Contamination and Toxic	□ Yes ☑ No	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5. Site contamination was evaluated as
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d) Contamination and Toxic Substances	☐ Yes ☑ No	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5. Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d) Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5. Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d) Contamination and Toxic Substances	☐ Yes ☑ No	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5. Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d) Contamination and Toxic Substances	☐ Yes ☑ No	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5. Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive

		property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Field Contamination Checklist and photos located at Inspector Information section of ERR. See Attachment 6 NEPAssist resource materials for Toxics / Contaminates within 1 mile of project location. Water Dischargers: Thomas Manor Park ECHO Report attached with "No Violations Indicated". Hazardous Waste: Chevron USA EPA Report attached with "No Record Data Available". No significant noncompliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with project scope of work.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		species because there are no listed
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		species or designated critical habitats in
402		the action area. This project is in
		compliance with the Endangered
		Species Act. Photos located at Inspector
		Information section of ERR. The project
		scope of work will have no effect due to
		nature of project activities.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		project includes no activities that would
51 Subpart C		require further evaluation under this
		section. The project is in compliance
		with explosive and flammable hazard
		requirements.
Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		activities that could potentially convert
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		agricultural land to a non-agricultural
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		use. The project is in compliance with
		the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The
		proposed project will not convert any
		undeveloped land. Therefore, complies
		with the Farmlands Protection Policy
Floodulein Management		Act. See Attachment 9.
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a 100-year
Executive Order 11988, particularly		floodplain. The 8-Step Process is
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		required. With the 8-Step Process the
		project will be in compliance with

		Executive Order 11988. See Attachment 10: FIRM: 480214, PANEL: 0044C, DATE: 02/16/2006, ZONE: AE and 8-Step Process.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. See Attachment 11 and response from SHPO/THC: Above-Ground Resources *No historic properties are present or affected by the project as proposed. However, if historic properties are discovered or unanticipated effects on historic properties are found, work should cease in the immediate area; work can continue where no historic properties are present. Please contact the THC's History Programs Division at 512-463-5853 to consult on further actions that may be necessary to protect historic properties. Archeology Comments *No identified historic properties, archeological sites, or other cultural resources are present or affected. However, if cultural materials are encountered during project activities, work should cease in the immediate area; work can continue where no cultural materials are present. Please contact the THC's Archeology Division at 512-463-6096 to consult on further actions that may be necessary to protect the cultural remains.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See Attachment 12A, 12B and 12C NEPAssist and COE GIS System resource materials for Noise Attenuation. No significant non-

FY2021-CDBG-Playa-Drain-Walking-Trail-Improvements-79915

		compliance issues and will not impact
		nor conflict with project scope of work.
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		source aquifer area. The project is in
amended, particularly section		compliance with Sole Source Aquifer
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		requirements. The City of El Paso does
		not contain any EPA-designated sole
		source aquifers. See Attachment 13.
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description this
Executive Order 11990, particularly		project includes no activities that would
sections 2 and 5		require further evaluation under this
		section. The project is in compliance
		with Executive Order 11990. Property is
		not located within a designated
		Wetlands. Compliance with EO11990,
		Wetlands Protection Act. See
		Attachment 14.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		NWSRS river. The project is in
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		compliance with the Wild and Scenic
		Rivers Act. El Paso, Texas has no
		designated wild and scenic rivers on the
		National Rivers Inventory. See
		Attachment 15.
HUD HC	OUSING ENVIRONMEN	TAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL J	USTICE
Environmental Justice	☐ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were
Executive Order 12898		identified in the project's total
		environmental review. The project is in
		compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation		
Assessment Factor Code LAND DEVELOPMENT					
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	1	Proposed project consist of construction of an urban trail (paved surface) with landscaping for an approximate 4,000 ft. (.75 mile) segment.			
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	1	Construction site will take place within ROW of drainage easement characterized by relatively flat terrain thus limited demolition will be required.			
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-Generated Noise	2	Based on a review of NEPAssist resource materials: subject property is located outside the Airport Noise Contour; 3,757 ft. railroad crossings and not within 1,000 ft. of a direct major roadway.			
Energy Consumption/Energy Efficiency	2	Not Applicable.			
	9	OCIOECONOMIC			
Employment and Income Patterns	2	Not Applicable.			
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	The property is zoned "R-F" (Ranch and Farm) and R-3, R-4, and R-5 (Residential): The purpose of R-F (Ranch and Farm) district is to provide for primarily fallow or agricultural areas within the city and to protect and conserve these areas within and adjacent to urban development. No demographic character changes or displacement are anticipated with the proposed project.			
С	COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES				
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity) Commercial Facilities	2	Educational and Cultural Facilities have been identified in the vicinity of the proposed project site. Commercial Facilities are located in the			
(Access and Proximity) Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	2	vicinity of the proposed project site. Health care is located in the vicinity of the proposed project site.			

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation		
Assessment Factor	Code				
LAND DEVELOPMENT					
Solid Waste Disposal and	2	The City of El Paso Environmental			
Recycling (Feasibility and		Services department provides			
Capacity)		commercial garbage, recycling			
		collection and disposal services for the			
		project site.			
Waste Water and	2	El Paso Water Utilities provides services			
Sanitary Sewers		for project site.			
(Feasibility and Capacity)					
Water Supply (Feasibility	2	El Paso Water Utilities provides services			
and Capacity)		for project site.			
Public Safety - Police,	2	Police, fire and medical services are			
Fire and Emergency		available within proximity to property			
Medical		site.			
Parks, Open Space and	1	The proposed project fills a critical gap			
Recreation (Access and		that will assist in providing a			
Capacity)		continuous trail. This proposed project			
		limits will abut an existing trail which			
		continues east of Yarbrough east.			
Transportation and	2	This typical El Paso Central/ Mission			
Accessibility (Access and		Valley neighborhood area includes local			
Capacity)		park, schools, church, bus stops a few			
		scattered small retail establishments			
		(convenience stores) near Knights Dr			
		while Yarbrough Dr. , a major north			
		/south street, features services, multi-			
		family residential areas and institutional			
		establishments.			
	1	ATURAL FEATURES			
Unique Natural Features	2	This project is a continuation of unique			
/Water Resources		walking trail that will encompass the			
N		areas natural scenic features.			
Vegetation / Wildlife	1	Landscape design will include battery			
(Introduction,		operated controls and drip irrigation to			
Modification, Removal,		promote energy and water			
Disruption, etc.)		conservation. Once native, low water-			
		use trees are established (2-3 years)			
Other Footes		controlled irrigation would be reduced.			
Other Factors					

Supporting documentation

Places allong the Playa Drain Walking Trail.pdf

Project Scope of Work and Cost Estimate(1).docx Playa Drain Walking Trail Flood Map.pdf Playa Drain Walking Trail Zoning Letter.pdf Playa Drain Imp Planning Photos.pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Cesar Grado 8/12/2020 12:00:00 AM

Project Scope of Work and Cost Estimate.docx Playa Drain Improvements Budget Cost Estimate.pdf Photos Playa Drain Walking Trail.pdf FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST.pdf

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Fish and Wildlife website NEPA website FEMA website

List of Permits Obtained:

zoning verification request dated November 19, 2019

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

City Rep. Henry Rivera, District 7 Playa Drain Trail Community Meeting Thomas Manor E.S. Cafeteria- 7900 jersey St El Paso, TX 79915 Tuesday, November 12, 2019 @ 6 PM

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

No detrimental impact have been identified to result from construction of walking trail.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The primary objectives of the proposed project is to provide new pedestrian and bicycle access to neighborhoods.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Complete
Floodplain Management	Project will include construction of an urban trail with landscaping to blend with the area natural scape.	N/A	
Permits, reviews and approvals	zoning verification request dated November 19, 2019	N/A	

Mitigation Plan

Project area is on the surface area easement above the drain.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. See Attachment 1, project site is not within a Civilian or Military runway zone.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 1 Airport Haz Playa Drain Improvements.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

 Is the project located in a CBRS U 	nit?
--	------

∕ No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 2 Coastal Barrier.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements. See Attachment 3: FIRM 480214, PANEL 0044C, DATE 02/16/2006, ZONE AE.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 3 Flood Ins Playa Drain Improvements.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 5 Coastal Zone.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening

- ✓ None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

✓	Ν	
•	- 11	

Explain:

Upon review, there were no toxic and/or hazardous substance found nearby property site. See Site Specific Field Contamination Checklist.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Field Contamination Checklist and photos located at Inspector Information section of ERR. See Attachment 6 NEPAssist resource materials for Toxics / Contaminates within 1 mile of project location. Water Dischargers: Thomas Manor Park ECHO Report attached with "No Violations Indicated". Hazardous Waste: Chevron USA EPA Report attached with "No Record Data Available". No significant non-compliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with project scope of work.

Supporting documentation

RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Chevron USA.pdf
Detailed Facility Report ECHO Thomas Manor Park.pdf
Attachment 6 Toxics Playa Drain Improvements.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.

Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species because there are no listed species or designated critical habitats in the action area. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. Photos located at Inspector Information section of ERR. The project scope of work will have no effect due to nature of project activities.

Supporting documentation

Species List Austin Ecological Services Field Office.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes



If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The proposed project will not convert any undeveloped land. Therefore, complies with the Farmlands Protection Policy Act. See Attachment 9.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 9 Farmland.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Attachment 3 Flood Ins Playa Drain Improvements(1).pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

No

✓ Yes

Select the applicable floodplain using the FEMA map or the best available

information:

Floodway

Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone)

√ 100-year floodplain (A Zone)

500-year floodplain (B Zone or shaded X Zone)

8-Step Process

Does the 8-Step Process apply? Select one of the following options:

√ 8-Step Process applies

Document and upload the completed 8-Step Process below. Be sure to include the early public notice and the final notice.

5-Step Process is applicable per 55.12(a)(1-4). Provide documentation of 5-Step Process.

8-Step Process is inapplicable per 55.12(b)(1-5).

Mitigation

For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.

Project will include construction of an urban trail with landscaping to blend with the area natural scape.

Which of the following mitigation/minimization measures have been identified for this project in the 8-Step or 5-Step Process? Select all that apply.

Permeable surfaces

 Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology

Planting or restoring native plant species

Bioswales

Evapotranspiration

Stormwater capture and reuse

Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions

Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements or similar easements

Floodproofing of structures

Elevating structures including freeboarding above the required base flood elevations

Other

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a 100-year floodplain. The 8-Step Process is required. With the 8-Step Process the project will be in compliance with Executive Order 11988. See Attachment 10: FIRM: 480214, PANEL: 0044C, DATE: 02/16/2006, ZONE: AE and 8-Step Process.

Supporting documentation

Final Notice Playa Drain Walking Trail Improvements.pdf
Early Notice Playa Drain Walking Trail Improvements.pdf
Attachment 10 Floodplain Playa Drain Improvements.pdf
8 Step Process Playa Drain Walking Trail Improv.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisi
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	dx_10/36cfr800_10.html
(NHPA) require a		
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

✓ Apache Tribe of Oklahoma Response Period Elapsed

✓ Comanche Nation of

Oklahoma Response Period Elapsed

✓ Fort Sill Apache Tribe Response Period Elapsed

✓ Mescalero Apache Tribe Response Period Elapsed

✓ Tonkawa Tribe Response Period Elapsed

✓ White Mountain Apache

Tribe Completed

✓ Wichita and Affiliated Tribes Response Period Elapsed

✓ Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo Completed

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Written request for consultation to SHPO/THC with corresponding documentation maps, pictures, scope of work and cost estimate sent via eTRAC,

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2.	Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the
	project?

Yes

No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. See Attachment 11 and response from SHPO/THC: Above-Ground Resources *No

historic properties are present or affected by the project as proposed. However, if historic properties are discovered or unanticipated effects on historic properties are found, work should cease in the immediate area; work can continue where no historic properties are present. Please contact the THC's History Programs Division at 512-463-5853 to consult on further actions that may be necessary to protect historic properties. Archeology Comments *No identified historic properties, archeological sites, or other cultural resources are present or affected. However, if cultural materials are encountered during project activities, work should cease in the immediate area; work can continue where no cultural materials are present. Please contact the THC's Archeology Division at 512-463-6096 to consult on further actions that may be necessary to protect the cultural remains.

Supporting documentation

RE CDBG EN Public Facilities Project Playa Improvements YDS.msg
THPO Consultation Letter White Mountian.pdf
HUD Tribal Directory Assessment Information.pdf
Project Review 202017245.msg
Attachment 11 Historic Playa Drain Improvements.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Noise Abatement and Control

	General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
ŀ	HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
r	residential properties from		Subpart B
E	excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
E	encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
á	appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
		Federal Airfields"	

What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See Attachment 12A, 12B and 12C NEPAssist and COE GIS System resource materials for Noise Attenuation. No significant non-compliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with project scope of work.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 12C Roadways Playa Drain Improvements.pdf

Attachment 12B Railroads Playa Drain Improvements.pub Attachment 12A Airport Noise Contour.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

<u>Screen Summary</u> Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The City of El Paso does not contain any EPA-designated sole source aquifers. See Attachment 13.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 13 Aquifers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. Property is not located within a designated Wetlands. Compliance with EO11990, Wetlands Protection Act. See Attachment 14.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 14 Wetlands Playa Drain Improvements.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?



Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. El Paso, Texas has no designated wild and scenic rivers on the National Rivers Inventory. See Attachment 15.

Supporting documentation

Attachment 15 Wild River.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes